



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,512	12/31/2003	Sarkis Barkhoudarian	U73.12-0064	9235
164 7590 04/26/2007 KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.			EXAMINER	
THE KINNEY	& LANGE BUILDING		BHAT, ADITYA S	
312 SOUTH TI MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55415-1002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
			*	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/750,512	BARKHOUDARIAN, SARKIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Aditya S. Bhat	2863			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI. 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> .	January 2007.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	,—				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-67 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,12,14-18 and 20-67 is/are reject 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,11 and 19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination 	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

With regards to claims 34-66 the methods recited in the claimed invention do not produce a real life, real world, useful, concrete, and tangible *result*.

The claims are directed to a judicial exception; as such, pursuant to the Interim Guidelines on Patent Eligible Subject Matter (MPEP 2106)), the claims must have either physical transformation and/or a useful, concrete and tangible result. The claims fail to include transformation from one physical state to another. Although, the claims appear useful and concrete, there does not appear to be a tangible result claimed. Merely producing an assessment of operational health for said machinery based on said measurements using said processor would not appear to be sufficient to constitute a tangible result, since the outcome of the producing step has not been used in a disclosed practical application nor made available in such a manner that its usefulness in a disclosed practical application can be realized. As such, the subject matter of the claims is not patent eligible.

In order to overcome the rejection, claim language should be added that includes outputting, displaying storing or otherwise conveying the result of the previous method steps.

Art Unit: 2863

For further clarification please refer to the Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility which can be found on the following website;

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2005/week47/patgupa.htm

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 12,15-18,20-21, 34-37,39-40,45-46,48-51, 53-54 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047).

With regards to claim 1, Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches a system for monitoring rotating machinery having a shaft and circumferentially disposed extensions rotatable with said shaft and spaced apart from one another, the system comprising:

a plurality of proximeters (col.6, lines 64-67) positioned proximate to said rotating machinery and each proximeter being operable to measure (Col. 7, lines 1-6) and transmit resonant vibration frequency and amplitude data (216,218,220; fig 6) for said rotating machinery derived from a transit time between said individual rotating extensions, along with signal amplitude data for a proximeter signal, wherein said plurality of proximeters are positioned(Col.7, lines 1-5) so as to enable mapping (fig 7) of substantially an entire resonance period of said rotating machinery; and

Art Unit: 2863

a processor(90) electrically coupled to receive said data and configured to correlate said data and thereby produce an assessment of operational health for said machinery. (Col. 2, lines 30-33 & 46-49)

With regards to claim 2, and 35 Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches a processor assessment includes a remaining operational life prediction for said machinery. (Col.4, lines 64-65) (See abstract, lines 18-20)

With regards to claim 3 and 36, Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches a maintenance schedule for said machinery. (Col. 12, lines 25-26)

With regards to claim 4 and 37, Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches a resonant vibration data includes radial runout data for said shaft. (Col. 1, lines 35-40)

"Radial runout" for examination purposes is being interpreted as a variation/imbalance in the radius or circumference of a wheel, gear, tire or the like.

With regards to claim 6, and 39 Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches a rotating shaft, and said processor correlates said resonant vibration data and radial runout data for said shaft. (Col.2, lines 30-34)

With regards to claim 7, Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches proximeters further measure (col. 6,lines 64-65) and transmit axial movement data for said shaft. (Col.2, lines 13-25)

With regards to claim 8, Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches a gear having multiple teeth,(Col. 1,lines 39-40) and said proximeters further measure and transmit axial movement data. (Col.2, lines 13-25)

Art Unit: 2863

With regards to claim 9, and 40 Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches processor correlates said resonant vibration data and axial movement data for said shaft. (Col.2, lines 31-42)

With regards to claim 12, and 45-46 Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches proximeters are optical. (col. 7, line 40)

With regards to claim 1.5, and 48 Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches rotating machinery comprises a gearbox comprising a gear having multiple teeth. (Col.1, lines 39)

With regards to claim 16, and 49 Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047)teaches a processor assesses the operational health of each of said teeth. (Col. 2, lines 63-65)

With regards to claim 17, and 50 Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches at least two gears that mesh at a meshing point, and at least one of said proximeters is disposed at a location approximately 180° from said meshing point. (Col. 1, lines 38-40) (col. 2, lines 56-60)

With regards to claim 18, and 51 Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047)teaches a housing having an interior space in which said rotating machinery is disposed, and a wall defining at least a portion of said interior space and separating said proximeters from said rotating machinery.(34;col.6, lines 1-5)

With regards to claim 20, and 53 Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches at least one of said proximeters is exposed to said rotating machinery. (col. 6, line 60)

Art Unit: 2863

With regards to claim 21, and 54 Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches the processor compares said measurements with predetermined values to assess said rotating machinery operational health.(Col. 3, lines 17-20)

With regards to claim 34, Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches a method for monitoring rotating machinery having a shaft and circumferentially disposed extensions rotatable with said shaft and spaced apart from one another, the method comprising the steps of:

positioning a plurality of proximeters proximate (col. 6,line 60) to said rotating machinery, said proximeters being operable to measure and transmit resonant vibration and amplitude data derived from a transit time between said individual rotating extensions, (col. 3,lines 3-9) along with signal amplitude data to map (fig7) substantially an entire resonance period of said rotating machinery

receiving and correlating said data using a processor that is electrically coupled to said plurality of proximeters; (Col.14, lines 5-10) and

producing an measurement of operational health for said machinery based on said measurements using said processor.(Col.13, lines 35-38)

With regards to claim 67, Dister et al. (USPN 6,053,047) teaches a system for monitoring rotating machinery having a shaft and circumferentially disposed extensions rotatable with said shaft and spaced apart from one another, the system comprising:

a plurality of proximeters (col.6,lines 64-67) positioned proximate to said rotating machinery and each proximeter being operable to measure (Col. 7, lines 1-6) and transmit resonant vibration frequency and amplitude data (216,218,220; fig 6) for said

Art Unit: 2863

rotating machinery derived from a transit time between said individual rotating extensions, along with signal amplitude data, wherein said proximeters are selected from a group consisting of electromagnetic proximeters, capacitive proximeters, optical proximeters and fiber optic proximeters; (col. 7, line 40) and

a processor(90) electrically coupled to receive said data and configured to correlate said data and thereby produce an assessment of operational health for said machinery. (Col. 2, lines 30-33 & 46-49)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-2, 4-9,14-18,20-28, 30-35, 37-41, 47-51, 53-61 and 63-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752).

With regards to claim 1, Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches a system for monitoring rotating machinery having a shaft and circumferentially disposed extensions rotatable with said shaft and spaced apart from one another, the system comprising:

a plurality of proximeters positioned proximate to said rotating machinery and each proximeter being operable to measure (CoI.12, lines 59-60) and transmit resonant vibration frequency and amplitude data for said rotating machinery derived from a transit time between said individual rotating extensions, along with signal

Art Unit: 2863

amplitude data (Col.12, lines 57-62) for a proximeter signal, wherein said plurality of proximeters are positioned (Col.7, lines 1-5) so as to enable mapping (fig 4) of substantially an entire resonance period of said rotating machinery; and

a processor electrically coupled to receive said data and configured to correlate said data and thereby produce an assessment of operational health for said machinery. (Col. 14, lines 5-10)

With regards to claim 2, and 35 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches a processor assessment includes a remaining operational life prediction for said machinery.(Col.13, lines 35-38)

With regards to claim 4 and 37, Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches a resonant vibration data includes radial runout data for said shaft. (Col. 4, lines 35-42)

With regards to claim 5, 38 and 41 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches a gearbox comprising a gear having multiple teeth, and said radial runout data indicates radial positions of said teeth.(Col. 3, lines 25-30)

With regards to claim 6, and 39 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches a rotating shaft, and said processor correlates said resonant vibration data and radial runout data for said shaft. (Col.4, lines 31-42)

With regards to claim 7, Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches proximeters further measure and transmit axial movement data for said shaft. (Col.12, lines 58-65)

With regards to claim 8, Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches rotating machinery comprises a gearbox comprising a gear having multiple teeth, and said proximeters further measure and transmit axial movement data. (Col. 3, lines 22-31)

Art Unit: 2863

With regards to claim 9, and 40 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches processor correlates said resonant vibration data and axial movement data for said shaft. (Col.4, lines 31-42)

which one of said electromagnetic proximeter is disposed. (Refer to figure 2)

With regards to claim 14, and 47 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches multiple rotating machinery components having a shaft and circumferentially disposed extensions rotatable with said shaft and spaced apart from one another; and additional proximeters, positioned circumferentially apart from one another and proximate to different respective components, and operable to measure and transmit non-duplicative resonant vibration and amplitude data for each of said rotating extensions along with signal amplitude data for said multiple rotating machinery components. (Refer to figure 2)

With regards to claim 15,and 48 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches rotating machinery comprises a gearbox comprising a gear having multiple teeth. (Col.3, lines 25-30)

With regards to claim 16, and 49 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches a processor assesses the operational health of each of said teeth. (Col. 3, lines 44-49)

With regards to claim 17, and 50 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches at least two gears that mesh at a meshing point, and at least one of said proximeters is disposed at a location approximately 180° from said meshing point. (Col.3, lines 25-30)

With regards to claim 18, and 51 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches a housing having an interior space in which said rotating machinery is disposed, and a

Art Unit: 2863

wall defining at least a portion of said interior space and separating said proximeters from said rotating machinery.(Refer to figure 2)

With regards to claim 20, and 53 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches at least one of said proximeters is exposed to said rotating machinery. (Refer to figure 2)

With regards to claim 21, and 54 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches the processor compares said measurements with predetermined values to assess said rotating machinery operational health.(Col. 3, lines 49-49)

With regards to claim 22, and 55 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches predetermined values include previously accumulated resonance data, including established maximum values for acceptable machinery fatigue levels. (Col.13, lines 39-43)

With regards to claim 23-24, and 56-57 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches resonance data comprises vibration data. (Col.12, lines 61-63)

With regards to claim 25, and 58 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches a predetermined values further comprise values for a radial gap between a gear tooth and a housing in which said gear tooth is housed. (Col.3, lines 27-30)

With regards to claim 26, and 59 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches an alerting signal generator that produces a signal reporting said rotating machinery operational health. (Col.3, lines 33-35)

With regards to claim 27, and 60 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches alerting signal comprises instructions for maintaining said rotating machinery. (Col. 14, lines 5-8)

Art Unit: 2863

With regards to claim 28, and 61 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches alerting signal comprises a textual, audio, or video signal. (Refer to figure 3-4) (must have means to display graph)

With regards to claim 30, and 63 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches processor is configured to detect rotating machinery chatter. (Col. 12, lines 61-62)

With regards to claim 31, and 64 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches processor is configured to detect a frequency and amplitude of said machinery chatter. (Col. 12, lines 61-62)

With regards to claim 32, and 65 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches processor is configured to assess lubricity degradation for said rotating machinery based on said machinery chatter. (Col. 13, lines 35-38)

With regards to claim 33, and 66 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches rotating machinery comprises a gearbox comprising a gear having multiple teeth, and said proximeters are spaced at odd harmonics of the resonance frequency quarter wavelength of said teeth. (Col.3, lines 27-30)

With regards to claim 34, Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) teaches a method for monitoring rotating machinery having a shaft and circumferentially disposed extensions rotatable with said shaft and spaced apart from one another, the method comprising the steps of:

positioning a plurality of proximeters proximate to said rotating machinery, said proximeters being operable to measure and transmit resonant vibration and amplitude data for said rotating machinery (col.3, line 28) derived from a transit time between said

Art Unit: 2863

individual rotating extensions, along with signal amplitude data (Col.12, lines 57-62) to map (fig4) substantially an entire resonance period of said rotating machinery

receiving and correlating said data using a processor that is electrically coupled to said plurality of proximeters; (Col.14, lines 5-10) and

producing an measurement of operational health for said machinery based on said measurements using said processor.(Col.13, lines 35-38)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 29 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) in view of Discoenzo (USPN 6,847,854)

With regards to claim 29, and 62 Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) does not explicitly disclose alerting signal automatically halts action of said rotating machinery. Discoenzo (USPN 6,847,854) discloses alerting signal automatically halts action of said rotating machinery. (Col.31, lines 17-25)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Cusumano et al. (USPN 6,567,752) invention to include the above noted limitations in order to minimize waste, scrap and insure a reliable safe process that will not fail unexpectedly. (Col. 1,lines 44-45)

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9,12,14-18, 20-67 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 10-11, and 19:

Claims 10-11, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 10-12, and 19 is the inclusion of: electromagnetic, and capacitive. It is this/these features found in the claim(s), as they are claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes this/these claim(s) allowable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shiromaru et al. (USPUB 2005/0246150) teaches a method and apparatus for diagnostic residual life of rolling element bearing.

Art Unit: 2863

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aditya S. Bhat whose telephone number is 571-272-2270. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,512 Page 15

Art Unit: 2863

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aditya Bhat April 15, 2007

John Barlow/
Supewiscry Patent Examiner
Technology Cenzer 2800